## 48A C.J.S. Judges § 318

Corpus Juris Secundum | August 2023 Update

## Judges

Joseph Bassano, J.D.; Khara Singer-Mack, J.D.; Thomas Muskus, J.D; Karl Oakes, J.D. and Jeffrey J. Shampo, J.D.

- IX. Disqualification to Act
- D. Objections to Judge and Proceedings Thereon
- 2. Mode and Sufficiency of Raising Objection
- a. General Considerations

§ 318. Filing of disqualification application—Timeliness

Topic Summary | References | Correlation Table

## West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, Judges 51(3)

In connection with a petition, application, or affidavit for disqualification of a judge, compliance must be had with applicable requirements as to the timeliness of filing.

The motion and affidavit supporting recusal of a judge must be timely filed. Where the objection is not timely made, the motion or affidavit should allege the excuse therefor, and specific rather than general allegations may be required. In this respect, the burden is on the affiant to show good cause for failure to file a motion in time.

Westlaw. © 2023 Thomson Reuters. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works.

## Footnotes

U.S.—Netsphere, Inc. v. Baron, 703 F.3d 296 (5th Cir. 2012); Armenian Assembly of America, Inc. v.

Cafesjian, 783 F. Supp. 2d 78 (D.D.C. 2011).

Ga.—Horne v. State, 318 Ga. App. 484, 733 S.E.2d 487 (2012).

2 U.S.—U.S. v. Bennett, 539 F.2d 45 (10th Cir. 1976).

Mo.—Kelch v. Kelch, 462 S.W.2d 161 (Mo. Ct. App. 1970).

Newly discovered bias

It was not an abuse of discretion to deny an untimely motion for a change of judge filed by defendant whose verified application alleged newly discovered bias but did not disclose when or how the alleged bias was first discovered, the facts warranting a change, or showing why such cause was not discovered earlier.

Ind.—McChristian v. State, 272 Ind. 57, 396 N.E.2d 356 (1979).

3 U.S.—U.S. v. Enigwe, 155 F. Supp. 2d 365 (E.D. Pa. 2001).

III.—People v. Jones, 197 III. 2d 346, 258 III. Dec. 775, 757 N.E.2d 464 (2001).

U.S.—Roussel v. Tidelands Capital Corp., 438 F. Supp. 684, 25 Fed. R. Serv. 2d 375 (N.D. Ala. 1977).

**End of Document** 

4

© 2023 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.